MacMillan, Deborah Georgia College & State University

80930_201808114472 Primary Care of Women Fall 2018



To learn more, see the Interpretive Guide: www.theideacenter.org/shortguide.pdf

Of the 24 students enrolled, 20 responded (83%). Feedback from individual classes is always useful to guide improvement efforts. Typically, multiple classes should be used for evaluation, using more classes when they are small (fewer than 10) or when they have low response rates (less than 60%) (see www.theideacenter.org/AdminDecisions).

Summary Evaluation of Teaching Effectiveness

Teaching effectiveness is assessed in two ways: **A. Progress on Relevant Objectives**, a weighted average of student ratings of the progress they reported on objectives selected as "Important" or "Essential" (double weighted) and **B. Overall Ratings**, the average student agreement with statements that the teacher and the course were excellent. The **SUMMARY EVALUATION** is the average of these two measures. Individual institutions may prefer to combine these measures in some other manner to arrive at a summary judgment.

<u>Converted Averages</u> are standardized scores that take into account the fact that the average ratings for items on the IDEA form are not equal; students report more progress on some objectives than on others. Converted scores all have the same average (50) and the same variability (a standard deviation of 10); about 40% of them will be between 45 and 55. Because measures are not perfectly reliable, it is best to regard the "true score" as lying within plus or minus 3 of the reported score.

For comparative purposes, use converted averages. Your converted averages are compared with those from all classes in the IDEA database. If enough classes are available, comparisons are also made with classes in the same broad *discipline* as this class and/or with all classes that used IDEA at your *institution*. The *Interpretive Guide* offers some suggestions for using comparative results; some institutions may prefer to establish their own "standards" based on raw or adjusted scores rather than on comparative standing.

Both <u>unadjusted</u> (raw) and <u>adjusted</u> averages are reported. The latter makes classes more comparable by considering factors that influence student ratings, yet are beyond the instructor's control. Scores are adjusted to take into account student work habits (item 13), student desire to take the course regardless of who taught it (item 15), and instructor reported class size.

Your Average Scores

A. Progress on Relevant Objectives ¹ Nine objectives were selected as relevant (Important or Essential –see page 2) Overall Ratings B. Excellent Teacher C. Excellent Course	Raw	
Objectives ¹ Nine objectives were selected as relevant (Important or Essential –see page 2) Overall Ratings B. Excellent Teacher		Adj.
relevant (Important or Essential –see page 2) Overall Ratings B. Excellent Teacher		
B. Excellent Teacher	4.2	4.0
C. Excellent Course	4.4	4.2
	4.2	3.7
D. Average of B & C	4.3	4.0

	Summary Evaluation (Average of A & D) ¹	4.3	4.0
(Average of A & D)	Summary Evaluation (Average of A & D) ¹	4.3	4.0

¹ If you are comparing Progress on Relevant Objectives from one instructor to another, use the converted average.

² The process for computing Progress on Relevant Objectives for the Discipline and Institution was modified on May 1, 2006. Do not compare these results with reports generated prior to this date.

Your Converted Average When Compared to All Classes in the IDEA Database

	A D				Summary					
Comparison Category	on Re	ogress levant ctives		cellent cher		cellent urse		erage & C	Evaluation (Average of A & D)	
	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Raw Adj.		Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.
Much Higher Highest 10% (63 or higher)										
Higher Next 20% (56–62)	56									
Similar Middle 40% (45–55)		53	53	50	54	46	54	48	55	51
Lower Next 20% (38–44)										
Much Lower Lowest 10% (37 or lower)										

Your Converted Average When Compared to Your:²

Discipline (IDEA Data)	NA									
Institution	51	52	53	52	51	49	52	51	52	52

No Disciplinary Comparisons Available

Student Ratings of Learning on Relevant (Important and Essential) Objectives

Average unadjusted (raw) and adjusted progress ratings are shown below for those objectives you identified as "Important" or "Essential." Progress on Relevant Objectives (also shown on page 1) is a weighted average of student ratings of the progress they reported on objectives selected as "Important" or "Essential" (double weighted). The percent of students rating each as "1" or "2" (either "no" or "slight" progress) and as "4" or "5" ("substantial" or "exceptional" progress) is also reported. These results should help you identify objectives where improvement efforts might best be focused. For resources on improving learning and teaching, please refer to the POD-IDEA Center Notes (www.theideacenter.org/podidea), and POD-IDEA Center Learning Notes (www.theideacenter.org/podidea/PODNotesLearning.html).

	Importance Rating		verage nt scale)		ent of s Rating	
		Raw	Adj.	1 or 2	4 or 5	
 Gaining factual knowledge (terminology, classifications, methods, trends) 	Essential	4.4	4.1	0%	85%	
2. Learning fundamental principles, generalizations, or theories	Important	4.2	4.0	0%	70%	
 Learning to <i>apply</i> course material (to improve thinking, problem solving, and decisions) 	Essential	4.3	4.1	0%	85%	
 Developing specific skills, competencies, and points of view needed by professionals in the field most closely related to this course 	Essential	4.3	4.0	0%	85%	
 Acquiring skills in working with others as a member of a team 	Minor/None					
 Developing creative capacities (writing, inventing, designing, performing in art, music, drama, etc.) 	Minor/None					
7. Gaining a broader understanding and appreciation of intellectual/cultural activity (music, science, literature, etc.)	Minor/None					
8. Developing skill in expressing myself orally or in writing	Important	4.2	4.1	5%	75%	
9. Learning how to find and use resources for answering questions or solving problems	Essential	4.1	4.0	5%	74%	
 Developing a clearer understanding of, and commitment to, personal values 	Essential	4.2	4.0	5%	75%	
11. Learning to <i>analyze</i> and <i>critically evaluate</i> ideas, arguments, and points of view	Essential	4.2	4.1	0%	75%	
12. Acquiring an interest in learning more by asking my own questions and seeking answers	Essential	4.2	4.0	5%	75%	
Progress on Relevant Objectives		4.2	4.0			

		Converted pared to G	•				
IDEA D	atabase		scipline ¹		stitution ¹		
Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.		
57 Higher	53 Similar	NA	NA	52 Similar	52 Similar		
55 Similar	51 Similar	NA	NA	50 Similar	50 Similar		
56 Higher	51 Similar	NA	NA	51 Similar	52 Similar		
54 Similar	49 Similar	NA	NA	50 Similar	50 Similar		
55 Similar	55 Similar	NA	NA	52 Similar	53 Similar		
57 Higher	55 Similar	NA	NA	50 Similar	52 Similar		
56 Higher	53 Similar	NA	NA	51 Similar	52 Similar		
56 Higher	54 Similar	NA	NA	51 Similar	52 Similar		
56 Higher	53 Similar	NA	NA	51 Similar	52 Similar		
56	53	NA	NA	51	52		

¹ The process for computing Progress on Relevant Objectives for the Discipline and Institution was modified on May 1, 2006. Do not compare these results with reports generated prior to this date.

Much Higher = Highest 10% of classes (63 or higher) Higher = Next 20% (56-62)

= Middle 40% (45-55)

Similar = Next 20% (38-44)

Lower Much Lower = Lowest 10% (37 or lower)

Description of Students

The two items describing your students relate to their academic motivation and work habits and are key factors in developing adjusted ratings.

Student Description	Your Average (5–point scale)
13. As a rule, I put forth more effort than other students on academic work.	3.7
15. I really wanted to take this course regardless of who taught it.	4.1

Your Converted Average When Compared to Group Averages											
IDE	A Database	IDEA Discipline	Your Institution								
53	Similar	NA	42	Lower							
63	Much Higher	NA	57	Higher							

asses (63 or higi Hiaher = Next 20% (56-62)

Similar = Middle 40% (45-55) = Next 20% (38-44)

Lower Much Lower = Lowest 10% (37 or lower)

Statistical Detail

33.

34.

35. 36.

37.

38.

The details on this page are of interest primarily to those who want to confirm scores reported on pages 1 and 2 or who want to determine if responses to some items were distributed in an unusual manner.

Converted Averages are reported only for relevant learning objectives (Important or Essential - see page 2) and other items for which comparisons were provided.

								Nur	nber	Respo				Conver	ted Avg.	Com	p Average		
						1	2	3	4	5	Omit	Avg.	s.d.	Raw	Adj.	IDEA	Discipline	Institution	
1. Gaining factual k	0	0	3	7	10	0	4.4	0.7	57	53	4.0	NA	4.2						
2. Learning fundamental principles, generalizations, or							0	6	4	10	0	4.2	0.9	55	51	3.9	NA	4.2	
3. Learning to apply course material (to improve thinking,							0	3	8	9	0	4.3	0.7	56	51	4.0	NA	4.2	
4. Developing speci	0	0	3	9	8	0	4.3	0.7	54	49	4.0	NA	4.3						
5. Acquiring skills in working with others as a member of a team								4	7	8	0	4.1	0.9	NA	NA	3.9	NA	4.2	
6. Developing creative capacities (writing, inventing, designing,								5	7	7	0	4.0	0.9	NA	NA	3.9	NA	4.2	
7. Gaining a broader un						1	0	5	5	9	0	4.1	1.1	NA	NA	3.7	NA	4.1	
8. Developing skill i							1	4	6	9	0	4.2	0.9	55	55	3.8	NA	4.0	
9. Learning how to f					swering		1	4	6	8	1	4.1	0.9	57	55	3.7	NA	4.1	
0. Developing a clea						0	1	4	6	9	0	4.2	0.9	56	53	3.8	NA	4.1	
11. Learning to analyzed		-				0	0	5	6	9	0	4.2	0.8	56	54	3.8	NA	4.1	
12. Acquiring an interest in learning more by asking my				0	1	4	6	9	0	4.2	0.9	56	53	3.8	NA	4.1			
Key: 1=No apparent prog	gress 2	eSlight p	orogress	3=Mode	erate progre	ess 4	4=Substa	antial p	rogres	ss 5=	Excepti	ional pro	gress	Bol	d=Selected	d as Impor	tant or Essentia	d	
3. As a rule, I put forth	more eff	ort than	other stu	dents on		0	2	6	6	5	1	3.7	1.0	53	NA	3.6	NA	4.0	
4. My background prep						2	2	3	5	7	1	3.7	1.4	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
5. I really wanted to tak						0	2	5	3	10	0	4.1	1.1	63	NA	3.3	NA	3.6	
 As a result of taking 			·		0	0	2	3	4	11	0	4.2	1.1	56	47	3.9	NA	4.0	
17. Overall, I rate this in:					<u>9</u>	0	1	1	7	11	0	4.4	0.8	53	50	4.2	NA	4.2	
18. Overall, I rate this co						0	1	3	8	8	0	4.2	0.9	54	46	3.9	NA	4.1	
Key: 1 = Definitely Fals	e 2=	= More Fa	alse than	True	3 = In Bet	ween	4 =	More Tr	rue th	an Fals	ie (5 = Defin	itelv Tr	ue	ltem	14 is an ex	perimental item.	Therefore, no	
, , , , ,																	ormation is availa	,	
																-			
Additional Questions:							0		-		_				N.				
		1	2	3	4	5	Omit			s.d.	-				NC	otes			
	19.	0	2	5	13	0	0	3.6		0.7	C	onsider	selectir	ng fewer ob	jectives as	s "Importai	nt" or "Essentia	al."	
	20.	0	3	4	13	0	0	3.5		0.8	D	iscipline	code s	elected on	FIF: 9900				
	21.	0	1	7	11	0	1	3.5		0.6	D	iscipline	code u	used for comparison: 9900					
	22.	1	2	7	10	0	0	3.3		0.9	D	iscipline	e comparisons are not provided for 9900-other.						
	23.	0	2	3	15	0	0	3.7		0.7									
	24.	0	1	2	17	0	0	3.8		0.5									
	25.	0	0	3	17	0	0	3.9		0.4									
	26.	0	0	3	17	0	0	3.9		0.4									
	27.	0	0	1	18	0	1	3.9		0.2									
	28.	0	0	1	18	0	1	3.9		0.2									
	29.	0	0	1	18	0	1	3.9		0.2									
	30.	0	0	1	18	0	1	3.9		0.2									
	31.	0	0	1	18	0	1	3.9		0.2									
	32.	0	0	1	18	0	1	3.9)	0.2									
							00												

20

20 20

20

20

20